high, the gesture 100 is considered to be associated with the button 66. For example, if the overlap area 104 is more than 40% of the total area of either the bounding box 102 or the bounding box 88, the gesture 100 can be considered to be associated with the button 66.

The described stylus gestures, objects, and processes are preferably accomplished within the context of a "view system". In such a view system, various "views" or "objects" are stacked on top of each other, like pages of paper on a desk top. These views include a root view (such 10 as the notepad) and virtually any number of views (within the limitations of the system) stacked on top of the root view.

The view system is a software routine which returns two pieces of information when the screen is engaged ("tapped") with a stylus. A first piece of information returned is which view or "object" was tapped. The second piece of information returned is the position of the tap on the tapped view. This location information is often returned in the form of Cartesian (x-y) coordinates. The view system therefore handles much of the routine input work for the computer system. Taps by stylus on non-active areas of the screen can be ignored by the view system. Likewise, inappropriate inputs on active areas of the screen can be ignored or can generate error conditions which can be acted upon by the system.

The term "object" has been used extensively in the preceding discussions. As is well known to software developers, an "object" is a logical software unit comprising data and processes which give it capabilities and attributes. For example, an object can be queried as to its type and can return such data as the number of words that it contains. Objects can contain other objects of the same or of a different type. Objects can also be used to project images on a screen according to their object type. There are many well known texts which describe object oriented programming. See, for example, *Object Oriented Programming for the Macintosh*, by Kurt J. Schmucher, Hayden Book Company, 1986.

In the present invention, objects are preferably implemented as part of a frame system that comprises frame objects related by a semantic network. A description of semantic networks can be found in "A Fundamental Tradeoff in Knowledge Representation and Reasoning", *Readings in Knowledge Representation*, by Brachman and Leveseque, 45 Morgan Kaufman, San Mateo, 1985.

The use of object oriented programming, frame systems, and the aforementioned view system simplifies the implementation of the processes of the present invention. In FIG. 7A, a conceptual representation of various objects in view system is shown. The notepad application on the screen 42 forms a first or "root" layer, and the status bar 56 is positioned in a second layer "over" the root layer 42. The clock 58 and buttons 60-70 are positioned in a third layer "over" the status bar 56.

In FIG. 7b, a cross-section taken along line 7b—7b of FIG. 7a further illustrates the conceptual layering of various objects. The aforementioned viewing system automatically handles "taps" and other gestures of the stylus 38 on the screen 42 by returning information concerning which object 60 has been gestured and where on the object the gesture occurred. For example, a gesture A on the screen 42 could create an action for the notepad application. A gesture B on the status bar 56 could be of part of a drag operation to move the status bar on the screen 42. A gesture C on recognize 65 button 66 can activate a process associated with that button. It is therefore clear that the object oriented programming and

view system software makes the implementation of the processes of the present invention less cumbersome than traditional programing techniques.

In FIG. 8, a process 200 for providing a gesture sensitive button for graphical user interface is illustrated. The process begins at step 202, and, in a step 204, it is determined whether the stylus 38 is on the screen 42. If not, step 204 goes into a wait state. If the stylus is on the screen, data points are collected in a step 206 and it is determined in a step 208 whether the stylus has been lifted from the screen. If not, process control is returned to step 206. After the stylus has been lifted from the screen, it is determined in a step 210 whether the collection of data points forms a gesture associated with a button in a step 210. If the gesture is not associated with a button, the gesture is processed in a step 212 and the process 200 is completed as indicated at step 214. If it was determined by step 210 that a gesture was associated with the button, then in a step 216 it is determined whether the gesture is a tap. If the gesture was a tap, the standard function for the button is performed in step 218 and the process is completed as indicated by step 214. If the gesture is associated with a button but is not a tap, then a step 220 determines whether the gesture is relevant to the button. If the gesture is not relevant (i.e. that gesture means nothing to that button) then the process is completed as indicated at 214. If the gesture is relevant to the button, then an alternative button action is processed in step 222 and the process is completed at step 214.

In FIG. 9, a script table 224 helps determine: (a) whether the gesture is relevant to the button; and (b) what to do when that gesture is detected in association with the button. In this example, a button detects three different types of gestures, a "tap" 226, a "check-mark" 228, and an "X-mark" 230. If the tap gesture 226 is detected, the "script" for the indicated process is to: (1) highlight the button 66 momentarily; (2) toggle (i.e. turn off if on, turn on if off) the recognizers; and (3) reverse the button state. As described previously, the button 66 indicates that the recognizers are on when the button says "recognize", and indicates that they are turned off when there is a diagonal line through the word "recognize". When the check-mark gesture 228 is found to be associated with the button, the process script is to: (1) highlight the button momentarily; and (2) pop up the recognizer palette 78. When the X-mark gesture 230 is detected, the script is: (1) highlight the button 66 momentarily; (2) turn on all of the recognizers; and (3) show the recognizer button in the "on" state. In this example, other gestures performed on the button 66 are considered "nonrelevant". Also, a tap, check-mark, or X-mark gesture performed elsewhere on the screen 42 would not be considered relevant to the button 66.

It is desirable that a given gesture should initiate a similar type of process regardless of which button it contacts. For example, a check mark could always means "START", an X-mark could always mean "STOP", etc. The button then provides the specific context for the command initiated by the gesture.

While this invention has been described in terms of several preferred embodiments, there are alterations, permutations, and equivalents which fall within the scope of this invention. It is therefore intended that the following appended claims be interpreted as including all such alterations, permutations, and equivalents as fall within the true spirit and scope of the present invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A gesture sensitive button for a graphical user interface comprising: